

# The Symmetries of $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci Functions

K. Paikhlaew, S. Kerdmongkon, N. Nawapongpipat and Y. Tongron\*

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Nakhon Ratchasima Rajabhat University,  
Nakhon Ratchasima, 30000, Thailand*

It is well known that the Fibonacci sequence  $(F_n)$  is denoted by  $F_0 = 0$ ,  $F_1 = 1$  and  $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$ , while the Lucas sequence  $(L_n)$  is denoted by  $L_0 = 2$ ,  $L_1 = 1$  and  $L_n = L_{n-1} + L_{n-2}$ . There are several studies showing relations between these two sequences. An interesting generalisation of both the sequences is a Fibonacci function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(x+2) = f(x+1) + f(x)$  for any real number  $x$  (Elmore, 1967). Research about periods of Fibonacci numbers modulo  $m$  (Jameson, 2018) results in a contribution on the existence of primitive period of a Fibonacci function  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  modulo  $m$  (Thongngam & Chinram, 2019). Recently, a  $k$ -step Fibonacci function  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  denoted by  $f(n+k) = f(n+k-1) + f(n+k-2) + \dots + f(n)$  for any integer  $n$  and  $k \geq 2$  (which is a generalisation of a Fibonacci function  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ) is introduced and the existence of primitive period of this function modulo  $m$  is established (Tongron & Kerdmongkon, 2022). In this work, let  $k$  be an integer  $\geq 2$ . For nonnegative integers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$  and  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ , a  $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci function  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is defined by  $f(n) = f(n - \alpha_1) + f(n - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2) + \dots + f(n - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \dots - \alpha_k)$  for any integer  $n$ . In fact, a  $k$ -step Fibonacci function is a special case of a  $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci function. We present the existence of primitive period of this function modulo  $m$  and show that certain  $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci functions are symmetric-like.

**Keywords:** Fibonacci functions;  $k$ -step Fibonacci function;  $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci function; primitive period modulo  $m$ ; symmetric-like

## I. INTRODUCTION

The Fibonacci sequence  $(F_n)$  is defined by (Koshy, 2001; Vorob'ev, 2011):

$$F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1 \text{ and } F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$

for any natural number  $n \geq 2$ . The beginning of the sequence is thus:

$$0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, \dots$$

Similar to the Fibonacci sequence, the Lucas sequence  $(L_n)$  is defined by (Koshy, 2001):

$$L_0 = 2, L_1 = 1 \text{ and } L_n = L_{n-1} + L_{n-2}$$

for any natural number  $n \geq 2$ . The beginning of the sequence is thus:

$$2, 1, 3, 4, 7, 11, 18, 29, 47, 76, 123, 199, 322, 521, \dots$$

Recently, there are several interesting relations between the Fibonacci sequence and the Lucas sequence, for example, (Adegoke, 2022; Phunphayap, Khemaratchatakumthorn & Sumritnorrapong, 2022), etc.

In 1967, Elmore (Elmore, 1967) consider a relation between the Fibonacci sequence and the Lucas sequence and define a Fibonacci function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is denoted by:

$$f(x+2) = f(x+1) + f(x)$$

for all real numbers  $x$ . Observe that if  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(1) = 1$ , then we get the Fibonacci sequence. Furthermore, if  $f(0) = 2$  and  $f(1) = 1$ , then we get the Lucas sequence. Consequently, a Fibonacci function is a generalisation of both the Fibonacci sequence and the Lucas sequence.

\*Corresponding author's e-mail: yanapat.t@nrnu.ac.th

In 2018, Jameson (Jameson, 2018) studies periods of Fibonacci numbers modulo  $m$  and provides some properties on periods of such numbers. His work motivates Thongngam and Chinram (Thongngam & Chinram, 2019) to show the existence of primitive period of a Fibonacci function  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  modulo  $m$ . They also establish some relations among periods and the primitive periods of such functions.

Recently, Tongron and Kerdmongkon (Tongron & Kerdmongkon, 2022) study about a  $k$ -step Fibonacci function  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  defined by:

$$f(n+k) = f(n+k-1) + f(n+k-2) + \dots + f(n)$$

for any integer  $n$  and  $k \geq 2$ . We can say equivalently that it is denoted by:

$$f(n) = f(n-1) + f(n-2) + \dots + f(n-k)$$

for any integer  $n$  and  $k \geq 2$ . Observe that this function when  $k = 2$  is a generalisation of a Fibonacci function defined from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We refer to their work as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** (Tongron & Kerdmongkon, 2022) *Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a  $k$ -step Fibonacci function and  $m$  be a positive integer  $> 1$ . Then there exists an integer  $1 \leq l \leq m^k$  such that  $f(n+l) \equiv f(n) \pmod{m}$  for any integer  $n$ .*

Such integer  $l$  is called a *Period* of  $f$  modulo  $m$ . If such integer  $l$  is the smallest, then it is called the *Primitive Period* of  $f$  modulo  $m$  and write  $l := l_f(m)$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** (Tongron & Kerdmongkon, 2022) *Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a  $k$ -step Fibonacci function and  $l, m$  be positive integers  $> 1$ .  $l$  is a period of  $f$  modulo  $m$  if and only if  $l_f(m) \mid l$ .*

**Theorem 1.3.** (Tongron & Kerdmongkon, 2022) *Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a  $k$ -step Fibonacci function and  $m, n$  be positive integers  $> 1$ . If  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , then  $l_f(mn) = \text{lcm}[l_f(m), l_f(n)]$ .*

Indeed, Thongngam and Chinram's results (Thongngam & Chinram, 2019) are special cases of the above facts. Tongron and Kerdmongkon (Tongron & Kerdmongkon, 2022) also provide the explicit primitive periods of some  $k$ -step Fibonacci function as follows:

**Lemma 1.4.** (Tongron & Kerdmongkon, 2022) *Let  $m$  be a positive integer and  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a  $k$ -step Fibonacci function with the starting values  $f(0) = a_0, f(1) = a_1, \dots, f(k-1) = a_{k-1}$  and  $\gcd(m, k-1) = 1$ . Then  $m \mid a_i$  for all  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$  if and only if  $l_f(m) = 1$ .*

**Theorem 1.5.** (Tongron & Kerdmongkon, 2022) *Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a 2-step Fibonacci function with the starting values  $f(0) = a$  and  $f(1) = b$ . Assume that  $2m \nmid a$  or  $2m \nmid b$ . For a positive integer  $m$ ,  $m \mid a$  and  $m \mid b$  if and only if  $l_f(2m) = 3$ .*

**Theorem 1.6.** (Tongron & Kerdmongkon, 2022) *Let  $m$  be a positive odd integer and  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a 3-step Fibonacci function with the starting values  $f(0) = a, f(1) = b$  and  $f(2) = c$ . Assume that  $3m \nmid a, 3m \nmid b$  or  $3m \nmid c$ . Then the following statements hold.*

(1) *If  $m \mid a, m \mid b$  and  $m \mid c$  then  $l_f(3m) = 13$ .*

(2) *If  $l_f(3m) = 13$ , then*

$$91a + 141b + 168c \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$

$$168a + 259b + 309c \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$

$$309a + 477b + 568c \equiv 0 \pmod{m}.$$

**Corollary 1.7.** (Tongron & Kerdmongkon, 2022) *Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a 3-step Fibonacci function with the starting values  $f(0) = a, f(1) = b$  and  $f(2) = c$ . Then the following statements hold.*

(1) *If  $l_f(9) = 13$  and  $a, b$  or  $c$  is not divisible by 9, then  $3 \mid a, 3 \mid b$  and  $3 \mid c$ .*

(2) *If  $l_f(21) = 13$  and  $a, b$  or  $c$  is not divisible by 21, then  $7 \mid a, 7 \mid b$  and  $7 \mid c$ .*

**Theorem 1.8.** (Tongron & Kerdmongkon, 2022) *Let  $m$  be a positive integer and  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a 4-step Fibonacci function with the starting values  $f(0) = a, f(1) = b, f(2) = c$  and  $f(3) = d$ . Assume that  $\gcd(4m, 3) = 1$  and  $a, b, c$  or  $d$  is not divisible by  $4m$ . Then the following statements hold.*

(1) *If  $m \mid a, m \mid b, m \mid c$  and  $m \mid d$ , then*

$$l_f(4m)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 5 & \text{if } b+c+d, a+b+2c+2d, 2a+3b+3c+4d \\ & \text{and } 4a+6b+7c+7d \text{ are divisible by } 2m, \\ 10 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2) *If  $l_f(4m) = 10$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} 7a + 11b + 13c + 14d &\equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ 14a + 21b + 25c + 27d &\equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ 27a + 41b + 48c + 52d &\equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ 52a + 79b + 93c + 100d &\equiv 0 \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we define a  $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci function  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  for nonnegative integers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$  and  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$  by:

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= f(n - \alpha_1) + f(n - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2) + \dots \\ &\quad + f(n - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \dots - \alpha_k) \end{aligned}$$

for any integer  $n$ . Notice that the  $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci function is a generalisation of a  $k$ -step Fibonacci function when all  $\alpha$  are equal to 1. Theorem 1.1 – 1.3 are going to be proven in the version of  $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci functions. There are also several examples to support our facts. Some of these examples motivate us to verify that certain  $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci functions are symmetric-like.

## II. MAIN RESULTS

Let  $k$  be an integer  $\geq 2$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$  be nonnegative integers such that  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ . Recall that a  $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci function  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= f(n - \alpha_1) + f(n - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2) + \dots \\ &\quad + f(n - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \dots - \alpha_k) \end{aligned}$$

for any integer  $n$ . For general use, a  $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci function  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= f(n + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k) - f(n + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k) \\ &\quad - f(n + \alpha_3 + \dots + \alpha_k) - \dots - f(n + \alpha_k) \end{aligned}$$

for any integer  $n$ .

**Example 2.1.** Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a  $(3: 2, 1, 1)$ -step Fibonacci function such that  $f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1, f(2) = -1$  and  $f(3) = -2$ . We can calculate the other  $f(n)$  as follow:

$$\begin{aligned} &\vdots \\ f(-3) &= f(1) - f(-1) - f(-2) = 2 \\ f(-2) &= f(2) - f(0) - f(-1) = 2 \\ f(-1) &= f(3) - f(1) - f(0) = -3 \\ f(0) &= 0 \\ f(1) &= 1 \\ f(2) &= -1 \\ f(3) &= -2 \\ f(4) &= f(2) + f(1) + f(0) = 0 \\ f(5) &= f(3) + f(2) + f(1) = -2 \\ f(6) &= f(4) + f(3) + f(2) = -3 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Then we get the following tables:

Table 1. The values of the  $(3: 2, 1, 1)$ -step Fibonacci function

$n$	-10	-9	-8	-7	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1
$f(n)$	4	10	-7	-4	7	-1	-4	2	2	-3

$n$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
$f(n)$	0	1	-1	-2	0	-2	-3	-4	-5	-9

**Example 2.2.** Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a  $(4: 1, 0, 0, 1)$ -step Fibonacci function such that  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(1) = 1$ . We can calculate the other  $f(n)$  as follow:

$$\begin{aligned} &\vdots \\ f(-3) &= f(-1) - f(-2) - f(-2) - f(-2) = 10 \\ f(-2) &= f(0) - f(-1) - f(-1) - f(-1) = -3 \\ f(-1) &= f(1) - f(0) - f(0) - f(0) = 1 \\ f(0) &= 0 \\ f(1) &= 1 \\ f(2) &= f(1) + f(1) + f(1) + f(0) = 3 \\ f(3) &= f(2) + f(2) + f(2) + f(1) = 10 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Then we get the following tables:

Table 2. The values of the  $(4: 1, 0, 0, 1)$ -step Fibonacci function

$n$	-8	-7	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1
$f(n)$	-3927	1189	-360	109	-33	10	-3	1

$n$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
$f(n)$	0	1	3	10	33	109	360	1189	3927	12970

Next, we show the existence of primitive period of  $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci functions modulo  $m$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a  $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci function with  $\alpha_k \geq 1$  and  $m$  be a positive integer

$> 1$ . Then there exists an integer  $1 \leq l \leq m^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\dots+\alpha_k}$  such that  $f(n+l) \equiv f(n) \pmod{m}$  for any integer  $n$ .

*Proof.* For any integer  $a \in \{0, 1, \dots, m^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\dots+\alpha_k}\}$  which has  $m^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\dots+\alpha_k} + 1$  elements, consider  $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k) -$  tuple  $(f(a), f(a+1), \dots, f(a + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k - 1))$  modulo  $m$  which can be  $m^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\dots+\alpha_k}$  possible values:

$$\begin{aligned} & (0, 0, \dots, 0, 0), (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1), \dots, (0, 0, \dots, 0, m-1), \\ & (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0), (0, 0, \dots, 1, 1), \dots, (0, 0, \dots, 1, m-1), \\ & \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\ & (m-1, m-1, \dots, m-1, 0), (m-1, m-1, \dots, m-1, 1), \dots, \\ & \quad \quad \quad (m-1, m-1, \dots, m-1, m-1). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain from the Pigeonhole Principle (Burton, 2011) that there are integers  $0 \leq i < j \leq m^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\dots+\alpha_k}$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} & (f(j), f(j+1), \dots, f(j + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k - 1)) \\ & \equiv (f(i), f(i+1), \dots, f(i + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k - 1)) \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, we have:

$$f(j + \alpha) \equiv f(i + \alpha) \pmod{m},$$

where  $\alpha \in \{0, 1, \dots, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k - 1\}$ . Choose a positive integer  $l := j - i$  so that:

$$f(i + \alpha + l) \equiv f(i + \alpha) \pmod{m}$$

and  $1 \leq l \leq m^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\dots+\alpha_k}$ . The proof is divided into two cases:  $n \geq i$  and  $n \leq i$ .

*Case 1.* Assume that  $f(r+l) \equiv f(r) \pmod{m}$  for  $i \leq r \leq n$  and  $n \geq i + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k - 1$ . Since  $i \leq n + 1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \dots - \alpha_k < n + 1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \dots - \alpha_{k-1} \leq \dots \leq n + 1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \leq n + 1 - \alpha_1 \leq n$ , we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} & f(n+1) \\ & \equiv f(n+1-\alpha_1) + f(n+1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2) + \dots \\ & \quad + f(n+1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2-\dots-\alpha_k) \pmod{m} \\ & \equiv f(n+1-\alpha_1+l) + f(n+1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2+l) + \dots \\ & \quad + f(n+1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2-\dots-\alpha_k+l) \pmod{m} \\ & \equiv f(n+1+l) \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the Principle of Strong Mathematical Induction that  $f(n+l) \equiv f(n) \pmod{m}$  for  $n \geq i$ .

*Case 2.* Assume that  $f(r+l) \equiv f(r) \pmod{m}$  for all  $n \leq r \leq i + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k - 1$  and  $n \leq i$ . Since  $n \leq n - 1 + \alpha_k \leq \dots \leq n - 1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k < n - 1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k \leq i$ , we obtain that:

$$f(n-1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \equiv f(n-1+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\dots+\alpha_k) - f(n-1+\alpha_2+\dots+\alpha_k) \\ & \quad - \dots - f(n-1+\alpha_k) \pmod{m} \\ & \equiv f(n-1+\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_k+l) - f(n-1+\alpha_2+\dots+\alpha_k+l) \\ & \quad - \dots - f(n-1+\alpha_k+l) \pmod{m} \\ & \equiv f(n-1+l) \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the Principle of Strong Mathematical Induction that  $f(n+l) \equiv f(n) \pmod{m}$  for  $n \leq i$ .

The proof is complete.  $\square$

Theorem 2.3 tells us that there always exists a period of  $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci functions modulo  $m$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a  $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci function  $\alpha_k \geq 1$  with and  $m$  be a positive integer  $> 1$ . A positive integer  $l$  such that  $f(n+l) \equiv f(n) \pmod{m}$  for any integer  $n$  is called a **Period** of  $f$  modulo  $m$ . The smallest positive integer  $l$  such that  $f(n+l) \equiv f(n) \pmod{m}$  for any integer  $n$  is called the **Primitive Period** of  $f$  modulo  $m$  and write  $l := l_f(m)$ .

This unique primitive period always exists by The Well Ordering Principle (Burton, 2011). The following statements show some properties about a period and the primitive period of a  $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci function modulo  $m$ . These facts can be verified similarly to Tongron and Kerdmongkon's work.

**Corollary 2.5.** (Tongron & Kerdmongkon, 2022) If  $l_f(m)$  is the primitive period of a  $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci function  $f$  modulo  $m$ , then  $1 \leq l_f(m) \leq m^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\dots+\alpha_k}$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** (Tongron & Kerdmongkon, 2022) Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a  $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci function with  $\alpha_k \geq 1$ . For positive integers  $l, m > 1$ ,  $l$  is a period of  $f$  modulo  $m$  if and only if  $l_f(m) \mid l$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** (Tongron & Kerdmongkon, 2022) Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a  $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci function with  $\alpha_k \geq 1$ . If  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , then  $l_f(mn) = \text{lcm}[l_f(m), l_f(n)]$  for positive integers  $m, n > 1$ .

**Example 2.8.** Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a  $(4: 1, 0, 0, 1)$ -step Fibonacci function such that  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(1) = 1$ . Then we get the following tables:

Table 3. The values of the  $(4: 1, 0, 0, 1)$ -step Fibonacci function  $f(n)$  in modulo 2, 3, and 6

$n$	-7	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1
$f(n)$	1189	-360	109	-33	10	-3	1
$f(n) \pmod{2}$	1	0	1	1	0	1	1
$f(n) \pmod{3}$	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
$f(n) \pmod{6}$	1	0	1	3	4	3	1

$n$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$f(n)$	0	1	3	10	33	109	360	1189
$f(n) \pmod{2}$	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1
$f(n) \pmod{3}$	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
$f(n) \pmod{6}$	0	1	3	4	3	1	0	1

We see that  $l_f(2) = 3 \leq 2^{1+0+0+1}$ ,  $l_f(3) = 2 \leq 3^{1+0+0+1}$  and  $l_f(6) = l_f(2 \cdot 3) = \text{lcm}[l_f(2), l_f(3)] = \text{lcm}[3, 2] = 6 \leq 6^{1+0+0+1}$ . Moreover, we observe that  $f(1) = f(-1)$ ,  $f(2) = -f(-2)$ ,  $f(3) = f(-3)$ ,  $f(4) = -f(-4)$  and so on. We can say that  $f$  is symmetric-like. This observation is explained in general as follows:

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a  $(k: 1, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$ -step Fibonacci function with  $f(0) = 0$ . Then  $f(n) = (-1)^{n+1} f(-n)$  for all non-negative integers  $n$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $f(0) = 0 = (-1)^{0+1} f(-0)$  and  $f(1) = (k-1)f(0) + f(-1) = f(-1) = (-1)^2 f(-1)$ . Assume that:

$$f(t) = (-1)^{t+1} f(-t)$$

for all  $1 \leq t \leq r$ . Consider:

$$\begin{aligned} f(r+1) &= (k-1)f(r) + f(r-1) \\ &= (k-1)[(-1)^{r+1} f(-r)] + (-1)^r f(-r+1) \\ &= (-1)^{r+2} [-(k-1)f(-r) + f(-r+1)] \\ &= (-1)^{r+2} f(-r-1). \end{aligned}$$

We are done.  $\square$

We are motivated by Theorem 2.9 to ask if this fact for a  $(k: \alpha, 0, \dots, 0, \alpha)$ -step Fibonacci function with  $\alpha \geq 2$  holds or not. First of all, let us consider the following example.

**Example 2.10.** Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a  $(5: 2, 0, 0, 0, 2)$ -step Fibonacci function such that  $f(0) = f(1) = f(2) = 0$  and  $f(3) = -1$ . Then we get the following tables:

Table 4. The values of the  $(5: 2, 0, 0, 0, 2)$ -step Fibonacci function  $f(n)$

$n$	-9	-8	-7	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1
$f(n)$	-305	0	72	0	-17	0	4	0	-1

$n$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
$f(n)$	0	0	0	-1	0	-4	0	-17	0	-72	0	-305

Again, we see that  $f$  is symmetric-like, i.e.,  $f(2) = f(0)$ ,  $f(3) = f(-1)$ ,  $f(4) = f(-2)$ ,  $f(5) = -f(-3)$  and so on. To show that certain  $(k: \alpha, 0, \dots, 0, \alpha)$ -step Fibonacci functions with  $\alpha \geq 2$  are symmetric-like, the following lemmas are important tools.

**Lemma 2.11.** Let  $a$  and  $\alpha$  be integers with  $\alpha \geq 2$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1)  $a \equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha}$  or  $a - \alpha \equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha}$
- (2)  $a \equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{\alpha}$

*Proof.* It is clear that  $a \equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha}$  implies  $a \equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{\alpha}$ . If  $a - \alpha \equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha}$ , then  $a - \alpha \equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{\alpha}$  and so  $a \equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{\alpha}$ . Conversely, assume that  $a \equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{\alpha}$  and  $a \not\equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha}$ . It follows that:

$$[a - (\alpha - 1)]/\alpha \text{ is an odd integer.}$$

Consequently, we obtain that:

$$[(a - \alpha) - (\alpha - 1)]/\alpha = [a - (\alpha - 1)]/\alpha - 1 \text{ is even.}$$

In conclusion,  $a - \alpha \equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.12.** Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a  $(k: \alpha, 0, \dots, 0, \alpha)$ -step Fibonacci function with  $\alpha \geq 2$  and  $f(n) = 0$  for all  $0 \leq n \leq 2\alpha - 2$ . Then  $f(\alpha n + b) = 0$  for all integers  $n$  and  $0 \leq b \leq \alpha - 2$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that:

$$f(\alpha(1) + b) = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$f(\alpha(2) + b) = (k-1)f(\alpha + b) + f(b) = 0$$

because  $0 < \alpha + b \leq 2\alpha - 2$ . Assume that  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$f(\alpha t + b) = 0$$

for all  $2 \leq t \leq r$ . We get that:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha(r+1) + b) &= (k-1)f(\alpha(r+1) + b - \alpha) \\ &\quad + f(\alpha(r+1) + b - 2\alpha) \\ &= (k-1)f(\alpha r + b) + f(\alpha(r-1) + b) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

In another direction, assume that  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$f(\alpha t + b) = 0$$

for all  $r \leq t \leq 1$ . We get that:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha(r-1) + b) &= -(k-1)f(\alpha(r-1) + b + \alpha) \\ &\quad + f(\alpha(r-1) + b + 2\alpha) \\ &= -(k-1)f(\alpha r + b) + f(\alpha(r+1) + b) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof by the Principle of Strong Mathematical Induction.  $\square$

Lemma 2.12 can be rewritten in a simple way as follows:

**Lemma 2.13.** Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a  $(k: \alpha, 0, \dots, 0, \alpha)$ -step Fibonacci function with  $\alpha \geq 2$  and  $f(n) = 0$  for all  $0 \leq n \leq 2\alpha - 2$ . If  $n \not\equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{\alpha}$ , then  $f(n) = 0$  for any integers  $n$ .

We are now ready to prove the desired theorem.

**Theorem 2.14.** Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a  $(k: \alpha, 0, \dots, 0, \alpha)$ -step Fibonacci function with  $\alpha \geq 2$  and  $f(n) = 0$  for all  $0 \leq n \leq 2\alpha - 2$ . Then:

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} f(-n + 2\alpha - 2) & \text{if } n \not\equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha} \\ -f(-n + 2\alpha - 2) & \text{if } n \equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha} \end{cases}$$

for all  $n \geq \alpha$ .

*Proof.* It is not hard to see from the assumption that this statement holds for every  $\alpha \leq n \leq 2\alpha - 2$ . Since:

$$\begin{aligned} f(2\alpha - 1) &= (k-1)f(\alpha - 1) + f(-1) \\ &= f(-1) \\ &= f(-(2\alpha - 1) + 2\alpha - 2) \end{aligned}$$

and  $2\alpha - 1 \not\equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha}$ , this statement holds for  $n = 2\alpha - 1$ . Let  $n$  be an integer such that  $2\alpha \leq n \leq 3\alpha - 2$ . Then

$$f(n) = (k-1)f(n - \alpha) + f(n - 2\alpha) = 0$$

because  $0 \leq n - 2\alpha < n - \alpha \leq 2\alpha - 2$ . On the other hand, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} f(-n + 2\alpha - 2) &= -(k-1)f(-n - 2 + 3\alpha) \\ &\quad + f(-n - 2 + 4\alpha) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

because  $0 \leq -n - 2 + 3\alpha < -n - 2 + 4\alpha \leq 2\alpha - 2$ . Hence,

$$f(n) = f(-n + 2\alpha - 2)$$

and we are done for this case since  $n \not\equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha}$ . We observe from the above that:

$$\begin{aligned} f(3\alpha - 1) &= (k-1)f(2\alpha - 1) + f(\alpha - 1) \\ &= -[-(k-1)f(-1)] \\ &= -[f(-\alpha - 1) - f(\alpha - 1)] \\ &= -f(-(3\alpha - 1) + 2\alpha - 2) \end{aligned}$$

and  $3\alpha - 1 \equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha}$ . Now the statement holds for all  $\alpha \leq n \leq 3\alpha - 1$ . Let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and:

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} f(-t + 2\alpha - 2) & \text{if } t \not\equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha} \\ -f(-t + 2\alpha - 2) & \text{if } t \equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha} \end{cases}$$

for all  $\alpha \leq t \leq r$  and  $r \geq 3\alpha - 1$ . Note that:

$$\alpha \leq r + 1 - 2\alpha < r + 1 - \alpha < r.$$

If  $r + 1 \equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha}$ , then:

$$r + 1 - \alpha \not\equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha} \text{ and}$$

$$r + 1 - 2\alpha \equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha}.$$

These imply from the inductive assumption that:

$$\begin{aligned} f(r+1) &= (k-1)f(r+1-\alpha) + f(r+1-2\alpha) \\ &= -[-(k-1)f(-(r+1-\alpha) + 2\alpha - 2) \\ &\quad + f(-(r+1-2\alpha) + 2\alpha - 2)] \\ &= -f(-(r+1) + 2\alpha - 2). \end{aligned}$$

Next, assume that  $r + 1 \not\equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha}$ . The proof is divided into 2 cases:  $r + 1 - \alpha \equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha}$  and  $r + 1 - \alpha \not\equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha}$ .

*Case 1.*  $r + 1 - \alpha \equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha}$ . Then  $r + 1 - 2\alpha \not\equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha}$ . We get from the inductive assumption that:

$$\begin{aligned} f(r+1) &= (k-1)f(r+1-\alpha) + f(r+1-2\alpha) \\ &= -(k-1)f(-(r+1-\alpha) + 2\alpha - 2) \\ &\quad + f(-(r+1-2\alpha) + 2\alpha - 2) \\ &= f(-(r+1) + 2\alpha - 2). \end{aligned}$$

*Case 2.*  $r + 1 - \alpha \not\equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha}$ . By Lemma 2.11, we have  $r + 1 \not\equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{\alpha}$ . We also have that  $-(r+1) + 2\alpha - 2 \not\equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{\alpha}$  since otherwise  $r + 1 \equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{\alpha}$ : a contradiction. It follows from Lemma 2.13 that:

$$f(r+1) = 0 = f(-(r+1) + 2\alpha - 2).$$

The proof is complete by the Principle of Strong Mathematical Induction.  $\square$

Theorem 2.9 and Theorem 2.14 yield the next theorem.

**Theorem 2.15.** Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a  $(k: \alpha, 0, \dots, 0, \alpha)$ -step Fibonacci function with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f(n) = 0$  for all  $0 \leq n \leq 2\alpha - 2$ . Then:

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} f(-n + 2\alpha - 2) & \text{if } n \not\equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha} \\ -f(-n + 2\alpha - 2) & \text{if } n \equiv \alpha - 1 \pmod{2\alpha} \end{cases}$$

for all  $n \geq \alpha$ .

*Proof.* If  $\alpha = 1$ , then we have from Theorem 2.9 that

$$f(n) = (-1)^{n+1} f(-n)$$

for all non-negative integers  $n$ . This shows that:

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} f(-n) & \text{if } n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ -f(-n) & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

for all non-negative integers  $n$  and so the statement holds for  $\alpha = 1$ . On the other hand, if  $\alpha \geq 2$ , then the statement clearly holds from Theorem 2.14.  $\square$

### III. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have already defined  $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci Functions and generalised Tongron and Kerdmongkon's work (Tongron & Kerdmongkon, 2022) which relates to periods of  $k$ -step Fibonacci Functions. It is also verified that some  $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci Functions are symmetric-like as in Theorem 2.15. For the

future work, we are going to provide some explicit formulae like Theorem 1.5, Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.8 for  $(k: \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ -step Fibonacci Functions. Besides, we are inspired to establish a generalisation of Theorem 2.15 by the following examples: Let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a  $(3: 1, 2, 1)$ -step Fibonacci function such that  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f(1) = 1$ ,  $f(2) = -1$  and  $f(3) = -2$ . Consider the following tables:

Table 5. The values of the  $(3: 1, 2, 1)$ -step Fibonacci function

$n$	-10	-9	-8	-7	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1
$f(n)$	-25	14	-9	7	-4	1	-1	2	-1	-1

$n$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
$f(n)$	0	1	-1	-2	-1	-1	-4	-7	-9	-14

Observe that this  $f$  does not satisfy Theorem 2.15 but  $f$  seems symmetric-like.

### IV. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the referees for his/her useful comments and suggestions.

### V. REFERENCES

- Adegoke, K 2022, 'Fibonacci and Lucas identities derived via the golden ratio', *Electronic Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 4, pp. 20-31.
- Burton DM 2011, 'Elementary Number Theory', 7th edn, New York, The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.
- Elmore, M 1967, 'Fibonacci Functions', *The Fibonacci Quarterly*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 371-382.
- Koshy, T 2001, 'Fibonacci and Lucas Number with Applications', John Wiley & Sons Inc., New Jersey.
- Jameson, GJO 2018, 'Finonacci periods and Multiples', *Mathematical Gazette*, vol. 102, no. 553, pp. 63-76.
- Phunphayap, P, Khemaratchatakumthorn, T & Sumritnorrapong, P 2022, 'Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers of Factorials and Factorials of Fibonacci and Lucas', *International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 11-19.
- Thongngam, N & Chinram, R 2019, 'Periods of Fibonacci Functions Modulo  $m$ ', *Thaksin University Journal*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 53-58.
- Tongron, Y & Kerdmongkon, S 2022, 'Periods of  $k$ -step Fibonacci Functions Modulo  $m$ ', *Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 323-331.
- Vorob'ev, NN 2011, 'Fibonacci Numbers', Pergamon Press, New York, Oxford.